TEMPLATE P61 · SEVEN-G DECLARATION OF APPLICATION

SEVEN-G declaration of application

Model text with which a company declares, under its own responsibility and with audited evidence, that it applies SEVEN-G or some of its components, with its scope, version, exclusions and validity.

Fernando García Varela Version 0.2 (working draft) 01-10-2026 Draft for review

IN THIS DOCUMENT

01 Instructions for use

02 Identification

03 Scope

04 Components applied and excluded

05 Intensity of application

06 Core rules (01 §14.1) and evidence

07 Adaptations and exceptions

08 Supporting audit

09 Approval and validity

10 Model text

11 Quality criteria

12 Approval and verification

13 Version control

Legal notice and disclaimer. SEVEN-G is a reference methodological framework provided "as is" and for information purposes only. It does not constitute legal, regulatory, financial or professional advice, nor does it guarantee compliance with any law or standard. References to general regulation (such as the EU AI Act, the GDPR, DORA or NIS2), to technical standards and to regulation specific to each sector or jurisdiction may be incomplete, may not apply to a particular case or may become out of date as a result of regulatory changes, interpretations or supervisory positions after their consultation date. **Each organisation that uses SEVEN-G is solely responsible for identifying the regulation that applies to it, verifying that it is current and certifying its own regulatory compliance**, with the appropriate qualified advice. This methodology is a generic, free aid shared with the community so that nobody has to start from scratch; each person or organisation can and should adapt it to its own use. It must not be inferred that its legally sensitive parts have been reviewed by legal counsel: those reviews, for each company or sector, are the ultimate responsibility of the company, consultant or organisation that uses it. Although every effort is made to keep it up to date, some regulation may have changed without being reflected here. To the fullest extent permitted by law, the author accepts no responsibility whatsoever for the effects of its application in any organisation or for its full applicability. The methodology does not grant certification of any kind. The author accepts no liability for any use made of this content or for any decisions taken on the basis of it. The data, figures, companies and cases in the examples are fictitious or illustrative.

Why it matters. Saying "we apply SEVEN-G" to customers, supervisors or investors is a statement about how AI is governed in the company. If it does not state which perimeter it covers, what has been excluded, which version is applied and which audit supports it, it is misleading and exposes the company. This template turns the declaration into a **verifiable self-declaration**: each of the fourteen core rules (01 §14.1) is linked to its evidence and to the result of an audit, and the text makes clear that it is not a certification.

> **It is not a certification.** There is no official SEVEN-G certification in version 0.x. The declaration is the sole responsibility of the company that issues it; a third party's review report is a professional opinion with its own scope and date, and does not grant any seal. Use of the SEVEN-G name does not imply endorsement by the author (documents 91 §6 and 93).

01

Instructions for use

Aspect	Guidance
When it is used	Before the first declaration, following a framework audit that includes the declaration (38 §11) with a Conformant or Conformant with observations outcome; thereafter, it is renewed each year in C5.
Who completes it	The AI Office prepares the text and the evidence table; the AI Committee submits it.
Who verifies it	Internal audit or an independent external auditor who has not taken part in implementing the framework in the company in the previous twelve months (38 §11.1). If the declaration is communicated to third parties, SEVEN-G recommends an external audit or an external quality review of internal audit.
Who decides or approves	The board or its board committee (91 §6.3).
Corporate cycle stage	Review, with the results of the annual framework audit. (C5)
Tool	It has no dedicated tool. The evidence comes from T01, T02, T03, T08, T12 and T17.
Reference document	01 §1.2 and §14; 38 §11; 91 §6; 11 §7.3 (questions marked **(§14)**); document 93 (licence and attribution).
Lite versus Enterprise	Not applicable to the declaration: it is made for the company or its perimeter, with the distribution of intensities in section 5.

Completion rules:

- **Full declaration**: only if the fourteen core rules in 01 §14.1 are met and the audit of the declaration is Conformant or Conformant with observations. With a Nonconformant outcome, the company

may not declare that it applies SEVEN-G; it may state that it is in the process of implementation (38 §11.3).

- **Modular declaration**: a company that implements only some components (01 §1.2) does not declare that it "applies SEVEN-G"; it declares which components it **uses**, with the wording in 91 §6.1, and does not invoke the core.
- Every exclusion is justified; adaptations of parameters (thresholds, time limits, maturity weights) are declared with their reason.
- The declaration acknowledges the authorship of the framework in accordance with the CC BY 4.0 licence.
- The expressions in section 10.3 are not used.

02

Identification

Field	Content	Guidance
Company name		Registered name.
SEVEN-G version applied		Number and date (for example, 0.1 · 16-09-2026).
Core version applied		Version of the core in 01 §14.1 (for example, 1 · 01-10-2026).
Date from which it is applied		DD-MM-AAAA.
Date of the declaration		DD-MM-AAAA.
Version of the declaration		1.0, 2.0... at each annual renewal.
Type of declaration		Full · Modular.

03

Scope

Field	Content	Guidance
Companies included		
Areas or units included		

Field	Content	Guidance
Types of AI use covered (01 §1.3)		AI use cases · Third-party AI integrated into processes · Corporate use of general-purpose AI · Unauthorised use.
Companies, areas or types of use excluded		With justification.
Number of systems in the inventory within the perimeter		T02, as at the cut-off date.
Number of use cases in the register within the perimeter		T01, as at the cut-off date.
Cut-off date		

04

Components applied and excluded

Element (01 §2)	Applied (Yes · Partly · No)	Scope or parts used	Justification for the exclusion or partial application
Corporate cycle C1–C5			
Use case lifecycle (phases 0–7 and *gates*)			
A · Impact map (spheres and ambition levels)			
B · Governance system (roles, bodies, risks, regulation, third parties, nonconformities)			
C · Measurement system (value rules, indicators, maturity, transformation index)			
D · Tools (templates, checklists, board dashboard, register of recommendations)			The reference tools are not mandatory; state what is used instead.
***(illustrative example)* A · Impact map**	Partly	Spheres and ambition levels to classify the portfolio	The grades of the enabling spheres will be incorporated in the following year.

In a full declaration, the corporate cycle, the use case lifecycle, the governance system and the value measurement rules must appear as applied, because the core (01 §14.1) requires them.

05

Intensity of application

Field	Content	Guidance
Enterprise criteria applied		Those in 01 §9.2 or those adjusted in C2, with reference to the approval.
Lite · Enterprise use cases		Number of each as at the cut-off date.
Enterprise investment threshold approved in C2		
Changes of intensity from Enterprise to Lite in the period		Number and reason.

06

Core rules (01 §14.1) and evidence

Code	Core rule	Question in document 11	Met? (Yes · No)	Evidence available	Audit result for the rule
N-01	Complete inventory with owner, regulatory classification and intensity.	D6.05		T02; reconciliation of the inventory (32 §9).	
N-02	Use case register with the traceability in 01 §6.11.	D2.05		T01.	
N-03	Intensity determined for each use case and reviewed at G3 and at each R6.	—		T01 (P04, T04).	
N-04	All new use cases go through the lifecycle with their *gates* recorded.	D2.06		T01, T03, P29.	
N-05	G3 always decided separately.	—		T01 (G3 decisions).	
N-06	Roles and bodies assigned in line with the incompatibilities in 01 §8.2.	D1.07 · D1.08		Mandates (document 30); P03.	

Code	Core rule	Question in document 11	Met? (Yes · No)	Evidence available	Audit result for the rule
N-07	Dual validation and evidence prior to the decision.	—		T01 (verifier and evidence dates).	
N-08	No "Yes ◆" criterion accepted as a condition.	—		T01, T03.	
N-09	Value hypothesis with baseline and stop criteria before feasibility.	—		P08, P09; T11.	
N-10	Regulatory classification and risk register before design; no Critical risk without board approval.	—		P11, P12; T06.	
N-11	Tested rollback and current continuity review in production; regularisation of earlier systems within the C2 time limit.	D4.07		T01; R6 minutes; regularisation list.	
N-12	C1 and C2 completed; thesis, ambition per sphere and risk appetite approved by the board; quarterly information.	D1.05		Board minutes; thesis and appetite; C1 report.	
N-13	Value measurement rules applied and reporting to the board with the oversight dashboard.	D7.05 · D7.06		T12; board dashboard (T17); minutes.	
N-14	Nonconformities managed with the process in 01 §12.	D6.07		T08; reports to the board committee.	

07

Adaptations and exceptions

Type	Description	Reason	Approved by and date
Adaptation of parameters			
Relevant exception in force		Systems under regularisation with a time limit approved in C2.	
(illustrative example) **Adaptation of parameters**	Enterprise *gate* decision time limit of 15 working days instead of 10	Monthly AI Committee calendar	AI Committee · 12-02-2027

08

Supporting audit

Field	Content	Guidance
Audit code		AUD-AAAA-NNN (P60).
Type of auditor		Internal · External.
Auditor or firm		For an external audit, with reference to their declaration of independence (P41).
Report date		
Outcome		Conformant · Conformant with observations. With Nonconformant, the declaration is not issued.
Improvement actions under way		Mandatory if the outcome is Conformant with observations.
Reference verified maturity level		Optional: modality and date of the last verified assessment (document 11). The declaration is based on the questions marked **(§14)**, not on the overall level.

09

Approval and validity

Field	Content	Guidance
Approving body		Board or board committee.
Date and reference of the minutes		
Validity		Until the next annual framework audit in C5.
Loss of validity		Nonconformant outcome in an audit or re-audit; major or critical nonconformity in one of the core rules; undeclared change of perimeter. In those cases, the declaration is withdrawn from communications until a re-audit is passed.
External recipients		Customers, supervisors, investors or others, and date of communication.

10

Model text

10.1 Full declaration

> *[Company name]* declares that it applies SEVEN-G (version *[number]*, of *[date]*), a framework by Fernando García Varela published under the CC BY 4.0 licence, since *[date]*, within the following scope: *[companies, areas and types of use]*. The following are excluded: *[exclusions]*, because *[reason]*. The following parameters have been adapted: *[adaptations]*. The following exceptions remain in force: *[exceptions]*.
> The declaration is supported by audit *[AUD-AAAA-NNN]*, carried out by *[internal audit or external auditor]* on *[date]* with the outcome *[Conformant or Conformant with observations]*. *[Where applicable: improvement actions are under way.]* It was approved by *[body]* on *[date]* and is valid until *[date of the next annual audit]*.
> This declaration is a self-declaration by the company and is not a certification. There is no official SEVEN-G certification in version 0.x. Use of the SEVEN-G name does not imply endorsement by the author of the framework. *[Company name]* is solely responsible for identifying the regulation that applies to it, verifying that it is current and certifying its own regulatory compliance.

10.2 Modular declaration

> *[Company name]* uses the following components of SEVEN-G (version *[number]*), a framework by Fernando García Varela published under the CC BY 4.0 licence: *[components]*, within the scope *[scope]*. This statement is not a declaration of application of the full framework or a certification; there is no official SEVEN-G certification in version 0.x and use of the name does not imply endorsement by the author.

10.3 Expressions not permitted (91 §6.2)

"SEVEN-G certified", "SEVEN-G certification", "certified company" · "approved by SEVEN-G", "official partner" · "endorsed by Fernando García Varela" · "AI Act compliance guaranteed by SEVEN-G" · any statement that the company complies with regulation "according to SEVEN-G".

11

Quality criteria

#	Check	Status
1	The type of declaration (full or modular) is consistent with the conditions met and with the model text used.	Met · Not met · Not applicable · Pending
2	The fourteen core rules have linked evidence, and questions D1.05, D1.07, D1.08, D2.05, D2.06, D4.07, D6.05, D6.07, D7.05 and D7.06 are "Yes" in a verified assessment.	
3	The supporting audit is from the last twelve months, covers the declaration and its outcome is not Nonconformant.	
4	The auditor is independent of the implementation of the framework in the previous twelve months.	
5	Scope, exclusions, adaptations and exceptions are declared with their reason.	
6	The text includes the framework version, the CC BY 4.0 attribution, the statement that it is not a certification and the warning that use of the name does not imply endorsement by the author.	
7	None of the expressions in 10.3 is used.	

#	Check	Status
8	The declaration is approved by the board or the board committee and has a validity date.	

12

Approval and verification

Function	Role in SEVEN-G	Name and position	Date	Signature or reference
Prepares	AI Office			
Submits	AI Committee			
Audits	Internal audit or independent external auditor			
Approves	Board or board committee			

Segregation of duties: anyone who has implemented the framework in the company in the previous twelve months does not audit the declaration (38 §11.1; 91 §5).

13

Version control

Completed document

Version	Date	Author	Changes

Template

Version	Date	Changes
0.1	19-09-2026	First version. Applies the conditions in 01 §14, the audit and minimum content in 38 §11, the model in 91 §6.3 and the questions marked **(§14)** in document 11; distinguishes the full declaration from the modular one (01 §1.2) and warns that there is no official certification in version 0.x.
0.2	01-10-2026	The seven conditions in 01 §14 become the fourteen core rules (01 §14.1, N-01 to N-14), with their question in document 11 and their evidence; new field "Core version applied".

External sources cited

Links to the official or primary source, checked before publication. Always verify the version in force on the date of use.

Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June 2024 laying down harmonised rules on artificial intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act) · https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2024/1689/oj/eng · Parlamento Europeo y Consejo de la UE · 13-06-2024

Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) · https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en · Creative Commons